

The Introductory Reader in
Human Geography:
Contemporary Debates and Classic Writings

Edited by
William G. Moseley,
David A. Lanegran,
and
Kavita Pandit

 **Blackwell**
Publishing

Contents

List of Figures	xi
Notes on the Editors	xiii
Preface and Acknowledgments	xiv
Introduction: Situating Human Geography	1
<i>William G. Moseley, David A. Lanegran, and Karita Pandit</i>	
 Part I: Introductory Readings	11
Introduction	13
<i>William G. Moseley and David A. Lanegran</i>	
1 The Four Traditions of Geography	16
<i>William D. Pattison</i>	
2 Geography's Perspectives	22
<i>National Research Council</i>	
3 Geography and Foreign Policy	37
<i>H. J. de Blij</i>	
4 Reflections of an American Geographer on the Anniversary of September 11th	39
<i>William G. Moseley</i>	
5 How to Lie with Maps	42
<i>Mark Monmonier</i>	
6 Every Step You Take, Every Move You Make	46
<i>Jerome E. Dobson</i>	

Part II: Population and Migration	49
Introduction	51
<i>William G. Moseley and Kavita Pandit</i>	
7 An Essay on the Principle of Population	54
<i>Thomas Robert Malthus</i>	
8 Population Growth and a Sustainable Environment	65
<i>Michael Mortimore and Mary Tiffen</i>	
9 Population Geography and HIV/AIDS: The Challenge of a "Wholly Exceptional Disease"	81
<i>W. T. S. Gould and R. I. Woods</i>	
10 Interprovincial Migration, Population Redistribution, and Regional Development in China: 1990 and 2000 Census Comparisons	96
<i>C. Cindy Fan</i>	
Part III: Environment, Agriculture, and Society	111
Introduction	113
<i>William G. Moseley</i>	
11 The Worst Mistake in the History of the Human Race	116
<i>Jared Diamond</i>	
12 The Future of Traditional Agriculture	122
<i>Donald Q. Innis</i>	
13 Geography and the Global Environment	132
<i>Diana M. Liverman</i>	
14 Water Resource Conflicts in the Middle East	142
<i>Christine Drake</i>	
15 Americans and Their Weather	156
<i>William B. Meyer</i>	
16 The Trouble with Wilderness; or, Getting Back to the Wrong Nature	167
<i>William Cronon</i>	
Part IV: Cultural Geography and Place	179
Introduction	181
<i>David A. Lanegran</i>	
17 Minnesota: Nature's Playground	184
<i>David A. Lanegran</i>	

18 American Microbreweries and Neolocalism: "Ale-ing" for a Sense of Place	198
<i>Wes Flack</i>	
19 Transplanting Pilgrimage Traditions in the Americas	206
<i>Carolyn V. Prorok</i>	
20 Kitchenspace, Fiestas, and Cultural Reproduction in Mexican House-Lot Gardens	221
<i>Maria Elisa Christie</i>	
Part V: Urban Geography	233
Introduction	235
<i>David A. Lanegran</i>	
21 Greenville: From Back Country to Forefront	238
<i>Eugene A. Kennedy</i>	
22 Ethnic Residential Concentrations in United States Metropolitan Areas	247
<i>James P. Allen and Eugene Turner</i>	
23 South Africa's National Housing Subsidy Program and Apartheid's Urban Legacy	264
<i>Kimberly Lanegran and David Lanegran</i>	
24 World-City Network: A New Metageography?	279
<i>Jonathan V. Beaverstock, Richard G. Smith, and Peter J. Taylor</i>	
Part VI: Economic Geography	291
Introduction	293
<i>William G. Moseley</i>	
25 Geographies of Knowledge, Practices of Globalization: Learning from the Oil Exploration and Production Industry	296
<i>Gavin Bridge and Andrew Wood</i>	
26 The Impact of Containerization on Work on the New York-New Jersey Waterfront	306
<i>Andrew Herod</i>	
27 Wine, Spirits, and Beer: World Patterns of Consumption	310
<i>David Grigg</i>	

28	Producing and Consuming Chemicals: The Moral Economy of the American Lawn <i>Paul Robbins and Julie T. Sharp</i>	323
29	Women at Work <i>Mona Domosh and Joni Seager</i>	337
Part VII: The Geography of Development and Underdevelopment		349
	Introduction <i>William G. Moseley and Kavita Pandit</i>	351
30	The Re-scaling of Uneven Development in Ghana and India <i>Richard Grant and Jan Nijman</i>	354
31	Development Alternatives: Practice, Dilemmas, and Theory <i>A. J. Bebbington and D. H. Bebbington</i>	369
32	Rural Development in El Hatillo, Nicaragua: Gender, Neoliberalism, and Environmental Risk <i>Julie Cupples</i>	381
33	The Sahel of West Africa: A Place for Geographers? <i>Simon Batterbury</i>	395
34	Geography, Culture, and Prosperity <i>Andres Oppenheimer</i>	400
Part VIII: Political Geography		403
	Introduction <i>David A. Lanegran</i>	405
35	Revisiting the "Pivot": The Influence of Halford Mackinder on Analysis of Uzbekistan's International Relations <i>Nick Megoran</i>	408
36	Euroregions in Comparative Perspective: Differential Implications for Europe's Borderlands <i>Joanna M. M. Kepka and Alexander B. Murphy</i>	422
37	The End of Public Space? People's Park, Definitions of the Public, and Democracy <i>Don Mitchell</i>	437
	Index	449

Figures

0.1	Distribution of maize production in the world	6
2.1	The matrix of geographic perspectives	23
8.1	Population distribution in the Machakos District of Kenya in 1932 and 1979	66
8.2	The recorded output of four market crops in the Machakos District from 1963 to 1988	72
8.3	The farm output of the Machakos District from 1930 to 1987 in constant 1957 maize prices	77
9.1	Estimated proportion of adults HIV+, Africa, 2002	85
9.2	Projected age and sex structure and AIDS deaths, South Africa, 2009	88
10.1	Provincial-level units and the three regions (China)	103
10.2	Regional distribution and inequality of GDP per capita, 1985–2001	103
10.3	Prominent interprovincial net migration flows, 1985–1990	106
10.4	Prominent interprovincial net migration flows, 1995–2000	107
14.1	The Tigris-Euphrates basin	147
14.2	The Nile basin	149
14.3	The Jordan-Yarmuk basin	150
21.1	Greenville County, South Carolina	241
23.1	Map of built-up districts of George (South Africa)	271
23.2	Street pattern of Pacaltsdorp and Thembaletu	276
24.1	Shared presences, primary vectors, and secondary vectors among Alpha world cities	285
24.2	World city links to London	286
27.1	The leading alcoholic beverage, 1997–9	313
27.2	The consumption of wine, litres per adult of absolute alcohol per year, 1997–9	314

Chapter 1

The Four Traditions of Geography

William D. Pattison

In 1905, one year after professional geography in this country achieved full social identity through the founding of the Association of American Geographers, William Morris Davis responded to a familiar suspicion that geography is simply an undisciplined "omnium-gatherum" by describing an approach that as he saw it imparts a "geographical quality" to some knowledge and accounts for the absence of the quality elsewhere. Davis spoke as president of the AAG. He set an example that was followed by more than one president of that organization. An enduring official concern led the AAG to publish, in 1939 and in 1959, monographs exclusively devoted to a critical review of definitions and their implications.

Every one of the well-known definitions of geography advanced since the founding of the AAG has had its measure of success. Tending to displace one another by turns, each definition has said something true of geography. But from the vantage point of 1964, one can see that each one has also failed. All of them adopted in one way or another a monistic view, a singleness of preference, certain to omit if not to alienate numerous professionals who were in good conscience continuing to participate creatively in the broad geographic enterprise.

The thesis of the present paper is that the work of American geographers, although not conforming to the restrictions implied by any one of these definitions, has exhibited a broad consistency, and that this essential unity has been attributable to a small number of distinct but affiliated traditions, operant as binders in the minds of members of the profession. These traditions are all of great age and have passed into American geography as parts of a general legacy of Western thought. They are shared today by geographers of other nations.

There are four traditions whose identification provides an alternative to the competing monistic definitions that have been the geographer's lot. The resulting

Pattison, William D., "The Four Traditions of Geography," pp. 203–6 from *Journal of Geography*, September/October (1990) (reprint of 1964 article with new foreword).

pluralistic basis for judgment promises, by full accommodation of what geographers do and by plain-spoken representation thereof, to greatly expedite the task of maintaining an alliance between professional geography and pedagogical geography and at the same time to promote communication with laymen. The following discussion treats the traditions in this order: (1) a spatial tradition, (2) an area studies tradition, (3) a man-land tradition and (4) an earth science tradition.

Spatial Tradition

Entrenched in Western thought is a belief in the importance of spatial analysis, of the act of separating from the happenings of experience such aspects as distance, form, direction and position. It was not until the 17th century that philosophers concentrated attention on these aspects by asking whether or not they were properties of things-in-themselves. Later, when the 18th-century writings of Immanuel Kant had become generally circulated, the notion of space as a category including all of these aspects came into widespread use. However, it is evident that particular spatial questions were the subject of highly organized answering attempts long before the time of any of these cogitations. To confirm this point, one need only be reminded of the compilation of elaborate records concerning the location of things in ancient Greece. These were records of sailing distances, of coastlines and of landmarks that grew until they formed the raw material for the great *Geographia* of Claudius Ptolemy in the 2nd century AD.

A review of American professional geography from the time of its formal organization shows that the spatial tradition of thought had made a deep penetration from the very beginning. For Davis, for Henry Gannett and for most if not all of the 44 other men of the original AAG, the determination and display of spatial aspects of reality through mapping were of undoubted importance, whether contemporary definitions of geography happened to acknowledge this fact or not. One can go further and, by probing beneath the art of mapping, recognize in the behavior of geographers of that time an active interest in the true essentials of the spatial tradition – *geometry* and *movement*. One can trace a basic favoring of movement as a subject of study from the turn-of-the-century work of Emory R. Johnson, writing as professor of transportation at the University of Pennsylvania, through the highly influential theoretical and substantive work of Edward L. Ullman during the past 20 years and thence to an article by a younger geographer on railroad freight traffic in the US and Canada in the *Annals* of the AAG for September 1963.

One can trace a deep attachment to geometry, or positioning-and-layout, from articles on boundaries and population densities in early 20th century volumes of the *Bulletin of the American Geographical Society*, through a controversial pronouncement by Joseph Schaefer in 1953 that granted geographical legitimacy only to studies of spatial patterns and so onward to a recent *Annals* report on electronic scanning of cropland patterns in Pennsylvania.

One might inquire, is discussion of the spatial tradition, after the manner of the remarks just made, likely to bring people within geography closer to an

understanding of one another and people outside geography closer to an understanding of geographers? There seem to be at least two reasons for being hopeful. First, an appreciation of this tradition allows one to see a bond of fellowship uniting the elementary school teacher, who attempts the most rudimentary instruction in directions and mapping, with the contemporary research geographer, who dedicates himself to an exploration of central-place theory. One cannot only open the eyes of many teachers to the potentialities of their own instruction, through proper exposition of the spatial tradition, but one can also "hang a bell" on research quantifiers in geography, who are often thought to have wandered so far in their intellectual adventures as to have become lost from the rest. Looking outside geography, one may anticipate benefits from the readiness of countless persons to associate the name "geography" with maps. Latent within this readiness is a willingness to recognize as geography, too, what maps are about – and that is the geometry of and the movement of what is mapped.

Area Studies Tradition

The area studies tradition, like the spatial tradition, is quite strikingly represented in classical antiquity by a practitioner to whose surviving work we can point. He is Strabo, celebrated for his *Geography* which is a massive production addressed to the statesmen of Augustan Rome and intended to sum up and regularize knowledge not of the location of places and associated cartographic facts, as in the somewhat later case of Ptolemy, but of the nature of places, their character and their differentiation. Strabo exhibits interesting attributes of the area-studies tradition that can hardly be overemphasized. They are a pronounced tendency toward subscription primarily to literary standards, an almost omnivorous appetite for information and a self-conscious companionship with history.

It is an extreme good fortune to have in the ranks of modern American geography the scholar Richard Hartshorne, who has pondered the meaning of the area-studies tradition with a legal acuteness that few persons would challenge. In his *Nature of Geography*, his 1939 monograph [..], he scrutinizes exhaustively the implications of the "interesting attributes" identified in connection with Strabo, even though his concern is with quite other and much later authors, largely German. The major literary problem of unities or wholes he considers from every angle. The gargantuan appetite for miscellaneous information he accepts and rationalizes. The companionship between area studies and history he clarifies by appraising the so-called idiographic content of both and by affirming the tie of both to what he and Sauer have called "naively given reality."

The area-studies tradition (otherwise known as the chorographic tradition) tended to be excluded from early American professional geography. Today it is beset by certain champions of the spatial tradition who would have one believe that somehow the area-studies way of organizing knowledge is only a subdepartment of spatialism. Still, area-studies as a method of presentation lives and prospers in its own right. One can turn today for reassurance on this score to practically

any issue of the *Geographical Review*, just as earlier readers could turn at the opening of the century to that magazine's forerunner.

What is gained by singling out this tradition? It helps toward restoring the faith of many teachers who, being accustomed to administering learning in the area-studies style, have begun to wonder if by doing so they really were keeping in touch with professional geography. (Their doubts are owed all too much to the obscuring effect of technical words attributable to the very professionals who have been intent, ironically, upon protecting that tradition.) Among persons outside the classroom the geographer stands to gain greatly in intelligibility. The title "area-studies" itself carries an understood message in the United States today wherever there is contact with the usages of the academic community. The purpose of characterizing a place, be it neighborhood or nation-state, is readily grasped. Furthermore, recognition of the right of a geographer to be unspecialized may be expected to be forthcoming from people generally, if application for such recognition is made on the merits of this tradition, explicitly.

Man-Land Tradition

That geographers are much given to exploring man-land questions is especially evident to anyone who examines geographic output, not only in this country but also abroad. O. H. K. Spate, taking an international view, has felt justified by his observations in nominating as the most significant ancient precursor of today's geography neither Ptolemy nor Strabo nor writers typified in their outlook by the geographies of either of these two men, but rather Hippocrates, Greek physician of the 5th century BC who left to posterity an extended essay, *On Airs, Waters and Places*. In this work, made up of reflections on human health and conditions of external nature, the questions asked are such as to confine thought almost altogether to presumed influence passing from the latter to the former, questions largely about the effects of winds, drinking water and seasonal changes upon man. Understandable though this uni-directional concern may have been for Hippocrates as medical commentator, and defensible as may be the attraction that this same approach held for students of the condition of man for many, many centuries thereafter, one can only regret that this narrowed version of the man-land tradition, combining all too easily with social Darwinism of the late 19th century, practically overpowered American professional geography in the first generation of its history. The premises of this version governed scores of studies by American geographers in interpreting the rise and fall of nations, the strategy of battles and the construction of public improvements. Eventually this special bias, known as environmentalism, came to be confused with the whole of the man-land tradition in the minds of many people. One can see now, looking back to the years after the ascendancy of environmentalism, that although the spatial tradition was asserting itself with varying degrees of forwardness, and that although the area-studies tradition was also making itself felt, perhaps the most interesting chapters in the story of American professional geography were being written by academicians who were reacting against environmentalism while deliberately remaining within the broad man-land

tradition. The rise of culture historians during the last 30 years has meant the dropping of a curtain of culture between land and man, through which it is asserted all influence must pass. Furthermore work of both culture historians and other geographers has exhibited a reversal of the direction of the effects in Hippocrates, man appearing as an independent agent, and the land as a sufferer from action. This trend as presented in published research has reached a high point in the collection of papers titled *Man's Role in Changing the Face of the Earth*. Finally, books and articles can be called to mind that have addressed themselves to the most difficult task of all, a balanced tracing out of interaction between man and environment. Some chapters in the book mentioned above undertake just this. In fact the separateness of this approach is discerned only with difficulty in many places; however, its significance as a general research design that rises above environmentalism, while refusing to abandon the man-land tradition, cannot be mistaken.

The NCGE seems to have associated itself with the man-land tradition, from the time of founding to the present day, more than with any other tradition, although all four of the traditions are amply represented in its official magazine, *The Journal of Geography*, and in the proceedings of its annual meetings. This apparent preference on the part of the NCGE members for defining geography in terms of the man-land tradition is strong evidence of the appeal that man-land ideas, separately stated, have for persons whose main job is teaching. It should be noted, too, that this inclination reflects a proven acceptance by the general public of learning that centers on resource use and conservation.

Earth Science Tradition

The earth science tradition, embracing study of the earth, the waters of the earth, the atmosphere surrounding the earth and the association between earth and sun, confronts one with a paradox. On the one hand one is assured by professional geographers that their participation in this tradition has declined precipitously in the course of the past few decades, while on the other one knows that college departments of geography across the nation rely substantially, for justification of their role in general education, upon curricular content springing directly from this tradition. From all the reasons that combine to account for this state of affairs, one may, by selecting only two, go far toward achieving an understanding of this tradition. First, there is the fact that American college geography, growing out of departments of geology in many crucial instances, was at one time greatly over-weighted in favor of earth science, thus rendering the field unusually liable to a sense of loss as better balance came into being. (This one-time disproportion found reciprocal support for many years in the narrowed, environmentalistic interpretation of the man-land tradition.) Second, here alone in earth science does one encounter subject matter in the normal sense of the term as one reviews geographic traditions. The spatial tradition abstracts certain aspects of reality; area studies is distinguished by a point of view; the man-land tradition dwells upon relationships; but earth science is identifiable through concrete objects. Historians, sociologists

and other academicians tend not only to accept but also to ask for help from this part of geography. They readily appreciate earth science as something physically associated with their subjects of study, yet generally beyond their competence to treat. From this appreciation comes strength for geography-as-earth-science in the curriculum.

Only by granting full stature to the earth science tradition can one make sense out of the oft-repeated addage, "Geography is the mother of sciences." This is the tradition that emerged in ancient Greece, most clearly in the work of Aristotle, as a wide-ranging study of natural processes in and near the surface of the earth. This is the tradition that was rejuvenated by Varenus in the 17th century as "Geographia Generalis." This is the tradition that has been subjected to subdivision as the development of science has approached the present day, yielding mineralogy, paleontology, glaciology, meteorology and other specialized fields of learning.

Readers who are acquainted with American junior high schools may want to make a challenge at this point, being aware that a current revival of earth sciences is being sponsored in those schools by the field of geology. Belatedly, geography has joined in support of this revival. It may be said that in this connection and in others, American professional geography may have faltered in its adherence to the earth science tradition but not given it up.

In describing geography, there would appear to be some advantages attached to isolating this final tradition. Separation improves the geographer's chances of successfully explaining to educators why geography has extreme difficulty in accommodating itself to social studies programs. Again, separate attention allows one to make understanding contact with members of the American public for whom surrounding nature is known as the geographic environment. And finally, specific reference to the geographer's earth science tradition brings into the open the basis of what is, almost without a doubt, morally the most significant concept in the entire geographic heritage, that of the earth as a unity, the single common habitat of man.

An Overview

The four traditions though distinct in logic are joined in action. One can say of geography that it pursues concurrently all four of them. Taking the traditions in varying combinations, the geographer can explain the conventional divisions of the field. Human or cultural geography turns out to consist of the first three traditions applied to human societies; physical geography, it becomes evident, is the fourth tradition prosecuted under constraints from the first and second traditions. Going further, one can uncover the meanings of "systematic geography," "regional geography," "urban geography," "industrial geography," etc.

It is to be hoped that through a widened willingness to conceive of and discuss the field in terms of these traditions, geography will be better able to secure the inner unity and outer intelligibility to which reference was made at the opening of this paper, and that thereby the effectiveness of geography's contribution to American education and to the general American welfare will be appreciably increased.